

1st February 1929]

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS

Assignment of Lands

Inclusion of Survey No. 373 of Thottathurai-Mottur village of Gudiyattam taluk in Pallalakuppam Reserved Forest.

1348 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that 1,130 acres of Survey No. 373 of Thottathurai-Mottur village of Gudiyattam taluk, North Arcot district, are included in the Pallalakuppam Reserved Forest;

(b) whether it is a fact that several petitions were addressed to Government by caste ryots to have the forest disafforested and assigned to them for cultivation;

(c) whether it is a fact that a portion of this area was disafforested recently and if so, to what extent;

(d) whether it is a fact that several caste ryots and Adi-Dravidas applied for lands in this area;

(e) whether this land has been already assigned or is about to be assigned;

(f) how much of this land is to be assigned to caste ryots and how much to Adi-Dravida ryots; and

(g) if the Government have no information, whether they will be pleased to call for the same?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) The attention of the hon. Member is drawn to the answer to clause (a) of question No. 1929 answered at a meeting of the Council held on 10th July 1926.

(c) No part of the area referred to in this question has been disafforested.

(d) to (g) Having regard to the answer to clause (c) these questions do not arise.

Assignment of land in Thottithurai-Mottur village to caste ryots and Adi-Dravidas.

1349 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the surveying of Survey No. 373/2 of Thottithurai-Mottur village, Gudiyattam taluk, North Arcot district, has been ordered with the object of assigning the land to the ryots and whether the surveying has been finished;

(b) what is the extent thus set apart for assignment to ryots;

(c) whether the above land is intended to be assigned to caste ryots of the locality as well as to the Adi-Dravidas; and

(d) whether a large portion of the land is under *sivayijama* held by caste ryots?

A.—(a) The hon. Member presumably refers to old Survey No. 373. If so, his attention is drawn to the answer given to clause (a) of question No. 1348.

(b) to (d) Having regard to the answer to clause (a), these questions do not arise.

[1st February 1929]

Assignment of grazing grounds in Chitrap village.

1350 Q.—MR. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether almost all the area of the only village common or waste land in S. Nos. 14-2 and 35-2 in Chitrap village has been assigned to not only to depressed classes but also to Mogers (fishermen by caste) and Billavars (toddy tappers by profession);

(b) what area is now left within the village for cattle to graze and roam about and for the people of the village for meetings and recreation purposes;

(c) whether in 1925 the Collector of South Kanara had refused reservation of a portion of this waste land to the depressed classes on the ground that it was the only available waste land in the village;

(d) if so, under what circumstances and for what reasons any portion of the land has been assigned to the three communities;

(e) whether many of the villagers have appealed to Government against the assignment on the above ground and also on the ground that it will add to the insanitary conditions of the villages; and

(f) if so, what action has been taken thereon?

A.—(a) to (d) The Government have not the information asked for, and have called for a report.

(e) A petition from the villagers of Chitrap was received in December 1928.

(f) The petition was returned to the petitioners as it did not appear that they had applied to the Collector and the Board of Revenue before approaching the Government.

Court of Wards*Application for the management of the Lingasamudram Estate by the Court of Wards.*

1351 Q.—THE ZAMINDAR OF MIRZAPURAM: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the application put in on 13th April 1928 through the Collector of Nellore by the guardian of the Proprietor of Lingasamudram Estate in the Nellore district for the assumption of management by the Court of Wards is still undisposed of;

(b) whether the Estate was placed under attachment for recovery of arrears of peshkash;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Tahsildar of Kandukur has directed the village officers who make collections of rent for the Estate to remit them into the Government Treasury;

(d) whether the village officers have remitted any amount under the Tahsildar's order from 13th April 1928;

(e) whether the Tahsildar is acting like that under the orders of Government or the Collector; and

(f) whether the Government will be pleased to investigate into the delay caused in the disposal of the application?

1st February 1929]

- A.—(a) & (f) The Government have decided that the Court of Wards should not assume the management of the Lingasamudram estate.
 (b) to (e) The Government are not aware whether or no this estate is in arrears of peshkash. If it is, the action attributed to the Tahsildar would be correct under the Madras Revenue Recovery Act, 1864, and Madras Regulation X of 1831.

Irrigation

Failure of crops in Pallavapuram village, North Arcot district.

1352 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state with reference to the answer to question No. 962 answered on 29th November 1928, regarding the failure of crops in Pallavapuram village, North Arcot district—

(a) whether the report called from the Chief Engineer has been received; and

(b) whether the same will be placed on the table of this House?

A.—(a) & (b) The Chief Engineer's report has not yet been received.

Investigation of the scheme for bringing water to Samudram tank from the Pennar river.

1353 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that a scheme for bringing water from Pennar river to Samudram tank near Tiruvannamalai, North Arcot district, was investigated;

(b) if so, the estimated cost of the scheme;

(c) the villages that will be benefited by this scheme; and

(d) the extent of the land it is possible to be brought under irrigation under this scheme and the stage at which the matter now is?

A.—There was a proposal under consideration to construct a reservoir on the Ponnar river to impound the available surplus water and utilize it in the interest of the existing irrigation under the Tirukkoyilur anicut system and also to extend irrigation in the North Arcot and South Arcot districts. The proposal included a supply channel 22 miles long with the Samudram tank at the tail end which tank was also proposed to be improved so as to serve as a storage for the water-works to supply drinking water to Tiruvannamalai town. On examination, it was found that the water-supply available was insufficient. The Chief Engineer for Irrigation has therefore decided that the further investigation of the scheme should be dropped.

Alleged dispensation of the services of Messrs. Irwin and Campbell.

1354 Q.—Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased (i) to furnish a copy of the previous record of service of Messrs. Irwin and Campbell, who were recently appointed Executive Engineers (Temporary) on the Mettur Project; and (ii) to state—

(a) why their services were dispensed with and whether their work in the department was considered satisfactory; and

[1st February 1929]

(b) what the ages of these officers are, and whether it is the intention of Government not to enforce the 55 years' rule in the case of officers employed on the Mettur Project?

A.—(i) A statement^a showing the record of service of the officers is furnished.

(ii) (a) The services of Mr. A. H. S. Campbell were dispensed with in 1915 on account of the reduction of establishment. Mr. Irwin resigned his post of his own accord in 1914. The work of both in the Public Works Department was satisfactory.

(b) Both the officers were 54 years of age on the date of their reappointment to the Public Works Department. It is not proposed to enforce the 55 years' rule in their case provided they are medically certified to be fit every year for further service.

Grievances of the Adi-Dravidas of Vadakrishnapuram regarding Jayankondan channel.

1355 Q.—Swami A. S. SAHAJANANDAM: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether any action has been taken in respect of the grievances of the Adi-Dravida ryots regarding the Jayankondan channel, Vadakrishnapuram village, Chidambaram taluk, referred to in my budget speech, on 26th March 1928; and

(b) if not, why not?

A.—A report has been received from the Collector which is adverse to the claims of the Adi-Dravida ryots. Further enquiries are being made.

Land Revenue

Resumption of two villages Kumarasingi and Bejiputti in the Khallikote estate.

1356 Q.—Sriman BISWANATH DAS Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware of the resumption of two villages, in the Khallikote Estate (Ganjam district) called Kumarasingi and Bejiputti;

(b) when and why this resumption was effected;

(c) the amount of assessment fixed on each of the villages;

(d) the principles on which such assessment (i.e., assessment of such resumed villages) is effected;

(e) whether the Government will be pleased to lay a copy of those rules on the Council Table;

(f) the deity or deities to whom the assessment is now paid; and

(g) whether the Collector of Ganjam had any correspondence with the trustee of the temple of Lord Jagannadha at Puri, before these resumption proceedings were taken up?

1st February 1929]

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) In 1913; as the conditions of the grant had ceased to be fulfilled.

						RS.	A.	P.
(c)	Bijjiputti	...	...	...	...	957	3	0
	Kumarasingi	...	...	...	...	521	13	0

(d) & (e) The hon. Member is referred to the notification for the settlement of the villages published in the *Ganjam District Gazette Supplement* dated 18th March 1918.

(f) Jagannadhaswami temple at Kallikota, Ganjam district.

(g) The Government have no information.

Landlord and Tenant

Conference of the representatives of janmis and tenants regarding Malabar Tenancy Bill.

1357 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the principles on which the selection was made of representatives of janmis and tenants of Malabar for the conference convened on 7th January at Madras to discuss the provisions of the Malabar Tenancy Bill drafted by the Malabar Tenancy Committee;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Members of the Legislative Council representing the janmis and tenants were asked to select a certain number of persons to represent them in the conference;

(c) if so, whether the Member of the Legislative Council representing the Indian Christians of Malabar was asked to do so; and if not, why not;

(d) whether any representation had been sent about the beginning of December 1928 by any Syrian Christian body in Ponnani taluk proposing names of gentlemen for selection for representing them in the conference; and

(e) if so, what action was taken thereon?

A.—(a) & (b) Mr. Muppil Nayar, the elected representative in the local legislature of the janmis, was asked to suggest the names of seven janmi representatives and Mr. K. P. Ramau Meon, M.L.C., who took a prominent part in the tenants' deputation that waited on His Excellency the Governor on 2nd October 1927, was asked to suggest the names of seven tenants' representatives.

(c) No. So far as the Government are aware the Indian Christians as such have no interests in the matter different from those of the general body of the tenants.

(d) The answer is in the affirmative.

(e) The Government did not think it necessary to import sectarian considerations into the matter and did not comply with the request made.

[1st February 1929]

Arms and Ammunition*Alleged refusal of gun licence to Mr. Sesham Nayudu of Gunthapalli village.*

1358 Q.—Mr. A. PARASURAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether one Inukeerthi Sesham Nayudu of Gunthapalli village, Badvel taluk, Cuddapah district, applied for a gun licence to the District Magistrate of Cuddapah for the current year but was refused;

(b) whether the said Sesham Nayudu was given licences for the previous years;

(c) whether it is a fact that the District Magistrate instituted any, and if so what, enquiry before refusing licence;

(d) whether it is a fact that the District Magistrate acted on the one-sided information of the Police when he passed orders;

(e) whether the licence was refused on account of the report that his character is bad;

(f) whether the said Sesham Nayudu was ever prosecuted before any Magistrate, and if so, with what result;

(g) whether he was ever put up before any Magistrate for being bound over either under section 107 or 110 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and if so, with what result; and

(h) whether the said Sesham Nayudu memorialized the Government on this matter on or about the 31st July 1925?

A.—(a) Yes, for 1928.

(b) Yes.

(c) The District Magistrate instituted an enquiry by one of his subordinate magistrates following a report from the District Superintendent of Police.

(d) The Government are not aware that the police information was 'one-sided.' The District Magistrate did not act only on police information.

(e) The enquiry showed that it was not desirable to renew the licence.

(f) & (g) The Government have no information.

(h) Yes.

Alleged refusal of licence to Mr. Settupalli Narasa Reddi of Settupalli.

1359 Q.—Mr. A. PARASURAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the District Magistrate of Cuddapah refused to issue gun licence to one Settupalli Narasa Reddi, village munsif of Settupalli, Rayachoti taluk, Cuddapah district;

(b) whether such refusal was based on the report of the police;

(c) whether the District Magistrate made any, and if so, what enquiry before refusing the licence; and

(d) whether the said Narasa Reddi is still doing work as a village munsif?

1st February 1929]

A.—(a) Yes, in 1925.

(b) & (c) As the connected records have been destroyed information is not available.

(d) Narasa Reddi is the village magistrate of Settipalli.

Criminal Tribes

Registration of Piramalai Kallars as member of the criminal tribe.

1360 Q.—MR. L. K. TULASIRAM: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) the total number of Piramalai Kallars who are registered members of the criminal tribe called Piramalai Kallar in the Tirumangalam taluk of the Madura district;

(b) whether the Government have framed rules under section 20 of the Criminal Tribes Act VI of 1924;

(c) whether persons who are registered members of the criminal tribe of Piramalai Kallars are required to report themselves between 10 and 11 p.m. and 3 and 4 a.m. daily to the officer in charge of the police station or outpost in whose neighbourhood such registered members happen to reside;

(d) the number and names of persons who are so required to report under section 10 (1) (a) of the Criminal Tribes Act in the Tirumangalam police station and Chekkanurani police station of the Tirumangalam taluk in the month of December 1928 and the total number for Madura district for December 1928;

(e) whether any Government Order has been passed about November 1926 requiring such persons to report themselves to the officer in charge of police stations or outposts;

(f) whether the Government will be pleased to lay on the table of this House all the Government orders on the subject of reporting by Kallars; . .

(g) whether persons who are owning pattas are also required to report in the above manner to the officer in charge of the police station; and

(h) whether the Kallars have protested against this method of requiring members to sleep in police stations the whole night each day for months together leaving their wives and children alone?

A.—(a) The Government have no information.

(b) Yes. The rules will be found at pages 2549 to 2562 of Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 28th December 1926.

(c) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to paragraph 37 of the Police Administration Report for 1927, which has been placed on Editors' Table with G.O. No. 467, Judicial, dated 25th September 1928.

(d) The Government have no information.

(e) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to rule 10 of the rules referred to in clause (b).

(f) No special orders were issued by Government on the subject.

(g) & (h) The Government have no information.

[1st February 1929]

Police*Appointment of Adi-Dravidas as Sub-Inspectors of Police.*

1361 Q.—Swami A. S. SAHAJANANDAM: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) the number of sub-inspectors of police working at present in the Presidency under each caste;

(b) the number of applications received during last year for this post;

(c) the number of Adi-Dravida sub-inspectors appointed during this period;

(d) whether any preference is given to the Adi-Dravida candidates; and

(e) if the answer to clause (d) is in the affirmative, in what form?

A.—(a) to (e) The Government have no information; they have called for it.

Annoyance caused to the residents of Neiveli village by constant thefts committed thereat.

1362 Q.—Rao Sahib R. SRINIVASAN: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether it was brought to the notice of the Government that the residents of the Neiveli village in the Tiruvallur taluk were constantly put to annoyance and loss to their fish and other crops and agricultural implements by thefts committed by the neighbouring villagers;

(b) whether reports of such thefts have been made by the residents of Neiveli village to the Pennalur Pettai Police station; and

(c) what steps the Police Officer of Pennalur Pettai had taken to put a stop to them.

A.—(a) No.

(b) & (c) The Government have no information; they have called for it.

Carnatic Stipends*Restoration of the Carnatic stipend to Mr. Abdul Latif Farookhi.*

1363 Q.—Mr. N. SIVA RAJ: Will the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Carnatic stipend of Mr. Abdul Latif Farookhi, M.L.A., which was discontinued, has been restored to him recently; and

(b) if not, whether the Government propose to restore it to him?

A.—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) No such proposal is under consideration.

Railways*Closure of the Trikanad flag station on the South Indian Railway.*

1364 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) the reasons why the Trikanad flag station on the South Indian Railway in Kasaragod taluk (South Kanara) which had been standing for 21 years was closed on 1st January 1929;

1st February 1929]

(b) whether it had been established mainly for the benefit of the fisher-folk and their fishing and fish-curing industries;

(c) whether representations have been made to the Railway authorities that their school-going children and their industries would suffer by the closing of the station;

(d) whether the Collector of the district and Fisheries department of Government were consulted by the Railway authorities before the closing of the station;

(e) whether representations have been made to the Director of Fisheries and to Government by the fisher-folk affected; and

(f) what action has been taken thereon?

A.—As the hon. Member is aware 'Railways' is a central subject.

(a) The Government understand that the station was closed by the South Indian Railway administration as traffic there had considerably decreased as a result of the opening of a new station at Kotikulam.

(b) The Government have no information.

(c) Yes.

(d) The Collector of South Kanara was consulted by the Railway authorities. The Government have no information whether the Director of Fisheries also was consulted by them.

(e) Representations have been made to Government, but the Government have no information if any representation has been made to the Director of Fisheries also.

(f) The representations have been referred to the Collector of South Kanara and to the Agent, South Indian Railway.

Forests

Restriction of the reserved forest area at Saribujjili.

1365 Q.—MR. V. KAMESWARA RAO NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether at Saribujjili, in the Chicacole taluk, there is a reserved forest almost abutting the main road and whether there have been complaints to the Government that the enforcement of forest rules in the areas of the adjoining villages has been the cause of great hardship to the agricultural population; and

(b) if so, whether the Government will be pleased to direct that steps be taken to restrict the reservation, so as to protect agriculturists from harassment?

A.—(a) The forest near Saribujjili village is the Karakavalasa forest in the Palakonda range held on lease by the Forest department from the Raja of Bobbili. The forest is more than a mile away from Saribujjili village and about a furlong away from Saribujjili-Parlakimedi main road. There have been no complaints of the kind referred to in the question.

(b) Does not arise.

[1st February 1929]

Hydro-Electric Schemes*Staff, etc., of the hydro-electric department.*

1366 Q.—Mr. P. BHAKTAVATSULU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) when the hydro-electric department was started as a separate department;

(b) who is in charge of the Hydro-Electric department, whether he is an Indian or an European and what is his starting salary and qualifications;

(c) whether an Indian was not available for this post;

(d) whether an advertisement was made inviting applications for this post and if not, why not;

(e) what are the technical qualifications, grade and starting salary of the Personal Assistant;

(f) whether the Personal Assistant was appointed on the recommendation of any ex-Government official;

(g) how many lower and upper subordinates and clerks have been appointed for this department and what is the starting salary of each of these several posts;

(h) how many of the men so appointed belong to each of the following communities: Brahmans, non-Brahmans, Muslim, Indian Christian, Adi-Dravida and other minority communities;

(i) what was the principle that underlay the selection of these subordinates;

(j) whether the Government considered the communal Government Orders while selecting candidates and if not, why not; and

(k) whether the officers are temporary or permanent, and how many under each head?

A.—(a) 1st April 1928.

(b) Mr. H. G. Howard is in charge of the department. He is an European and gets a fixed pay of Rs. 3,750 per mensem. His qualifications are given in the first annexure.^a

(c) & (d) As it was found essential to secure a hydro-electric expert of wide practical experience capable of undertaking the actual execution of schemes, the Chief Engineer had to be imported from abroad.

(e) The starting pay of the Personal Assistant is Rs. 725 per mensem in the scale of Rs. 725—1,375. His technical qualifications are detailed in the second annexure.^a

(f) No.

(g) & (h) See statement attached. (Third annexure^a.)

(i) A portion of the staff was already in service in the Public Works Department under the Chief Engineer (Irrigation) and was taken over to the Hydro-Electric Department on its formation. The new recruits were selected with reference to their qualifications and previous experience, preference being given to candidates from communities other than the Brahman, when available.

(j) Yes.

(k) The whole department is temporary.

1st February 1929]

Education

Government aid to Adi-Dravida graduates.

1367 Q.—Swami A. S. SAHAJANANDAM: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) the number of Adi-Dravida graduates who were helped by Government by scholarships or otherwise during their course of study since the advent of the British into this country; and

(b) the number that are being helped at present to become graduates?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have no information. A report has been called for from the Director of Public Instruction.

Local Boards

Condition of the roads under Raya and Bella channels.

1368 Q.—Mr. R. NAGAN GOWDA: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state whether the Government will be pleased to call for the information asked for in question No. 779 answered on 28th November 1928 regarding the bad condition of the roads under Raya and Bella channels in Hospet taluk and lay it on the table of the House?

A.—The Government do not propose to call for the information. It is open to the additional member to draw the attention of the local board concerned to the condition of the roads.

Excise

Refund of the tree tax to Mr. Yandra Tirapathi Rao, renter of Kanakapuram toddy shop.

1369 Q.—Mr. J. BHEEMAYYA: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the refund of tree tax of Sayanaraopalem village for the year 1927–28 due to Mr. Yandra Tirapathi Rao, renter of Kanakapuram toddy shop, has not yet been paid off;

(b) if so, why;

(c) if it has been paid, when it was paid; and

(d) whether the Excise Inspector, Ellore circle, recommended to the Tahsildar, Ellore taluk, that the refund might be made?

A.—(a) to (d) The Government have not the information.

Arrack and toddy shops in Ellore taluk.

1370 Q.—Mr. J. BHEEMAYYA: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) how many arrack and toddy shops there are in Ellore taluk, West Godavari district;

(b) how many of the renters of the above shops are remitting their monthly kists on the 1st of every month;

[1st February 1929]

(c) how many of them are remitting their kists later than the 1st of every month ;

(d) what amount of fine is levied on those who remit their kists later than the 1st instant ;

(e) on what scale the fines are levied for the late remittance of kists ; and

(f) whether the Government propose to allow the renters of the arrack and toddy shops to remit their kists on or before the 10th of every month considering the difficulties the renters have to undergo for remitting the kists precisely on the 1st of every month ?

A.—(a) The information has been called for.

(b) & (c) The Government have not the information.

(d) & (e) A statement^a is attached showing the scale on which fines may be levied. The scale however is not mechanically enforced ; officers are required to use their discretion in the matter.

(f) No.

Co-operative Societies

Cancellation of the Park Town Co-operative Society.

1371 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR : With reference to the answer to question No. 647 answered on 26th November 1928 regarding the cancellation of the Park Town Co-operative Society, will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) whether the appeal by the society has been disposed of ; and

(b) whether Government propose to supply copies of reports and papers regarding the liquidation to parties affected by the order ?

A.—(a) The appeal has been rejected.

(b) No.

Publication of the evidence before the Committee on Co-operation.

1372 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR : With reference to the answer to question No. 650 answered on 26th November 1928 regarding the publication of the evidence before the Committee on Co-operation, will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government consulted the Standing Advisory Committee on Co-operation regarding the printing of the evidence ; and

(b) whether the Government will allow private offers to print the evidence and will supply them a copy of the same for that purpose ?

A.—(a) No.

(b) The suggestion will be considered when and if such offers are received.

1st February 1929]

Recommendations of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies on the Townshend Committee's report.

1373 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: With reference to the answer to question No. 803 answered on 29th November 1928 regarding the recommendations of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies on the Townshend Committee's report, will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) whether all the recommendations of the Registrar have been received;

(b) whether definite orders have been passed by the Government on each recommendation;

(c) whether such orders will be placed on the table of this House;

(d) if no orders have been passed, whether the Government are aware that the recommendations made by the Committee are being asked to be adopted by means of circulars by the Registrar; and

(e) whether the Government have permitted the Registrar to adopt the recommendations without passing any definite orders themselves?

A.—(a) No.

(b) No.

(c) Does not now arise in view of answer to clause (b).

(d) & (e) The Registrar is issuing circulars only with regard to the adoption of some of the recommendations which do not require the orders of Government.

Liquidation of the Kaniyambadi Co-operative Society.

1374 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) whether the report said to have been called for in the answer to clauses (b) to (f) of question No. 804 answered on 29th November 1928 regarding the liquidation of the Kaniyambadi Co-operative Society has been received, and if so, what it is; and

(b) why the Government refused to place on the table of this House the report of the Registrar concerning the working of the co-operative society referred to in answer to clause (a) of question No. 804, dated 29th November 1928?

A.—(a) The report has not yet been received.

(b) The Government did not consider it necessary.

[For further unstarred question please see page 600 infra.]

STARRED QUESTIONS

Irrigation

Investigation of the Kistna left bank canal.

* 1375 Q.—Mr. A. KALESWARA RAO: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) in what stage the investigation to extend the Kistna left bank canal in Kistna district for irrigation purposes through Bezvada, Gudivada and Divi taluks is at present; and

(b) what is the area that is likely to be irrigated under the extension?